

TO PASS 80% or higher

Keep Learning

grade 100%

1/1 point

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE 100%

1. Problem Statement

This example is adapted from a real production application, but with details disguised to protect confidentiality.



You are a famous researcher in the City of Peacetopia. The people of Peacetopia have a common characteristic: they are afraid of birds. To save them, you have **to build an algorithm that will detect any bird flying over Peacetopia** and alert the population.

The City Council gives you a dataset of 10,000,000 images of the sky above Peacetopia, taken from the city's security cameras. They are labelled:

- y = 0: There is no bird on the image
- y = 1: There is a bird on the image

Your goal is to build an algorithm able to classify new images taken by security cameras from Peacetopia.

There are a lot of decisions to make:

- What is the evaluation metric?
- How do you structure your data into train/dev/test sets?

Metric of success

The City Council tells you that they want an algorithm that

- 1. Has high accuracy
- 2. Runs quickly and takes only a short time to classify a new image.
- 3. Can fit in a small amount of memory, so that it can run in a small processor that the city will attach to many different security cameras.

Note: Having three evaluation metrics makes it harder for you to quickly choose between two different algorithms, and will slow down the speed with which your team can iterate. True/False?

True

○ False

✓ Correct

- 2. After further discussions, the city narrows down its criteria to:
 - "We need an algorithm that can let us know a bird is flying over Peacetopia as accurately as possible."
 - "We want the trained model to take no more than 10sec to classify a new image."
 - "We want the model to fit in 10MB of memory."

If you had the three following models, which one would you choose?

\circ	Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
	97%	1 sec	3MB
0	Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
	99%	13 sec	9MB
0	Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size

1/1 point

	9170	3 Sec	ZIVID			
()	Test Accuracy	Runtime				
•			Memory size			
	98%	9 sec	9MB			
	/ Correct					
	•	is less than 10 seconds you'r	e good. So, you may simply maximize the tes			
	accuracy after you made sure th		e good. So, you may simply maximize the tes			
D		- 6-11				
B. Bas	ed on the city's requests, which of the	e following would you say is i	ruer			
•	Accuracy is an optimizing metric; rur	nning time and memory size	are a satisficing metrics.			
	A course via a catisfising matrice when	ing time and manager size as	a an antimizing matric			
	Accuracy is a satisficing metric; running time and memory size are an optimizing metric.					
\circ	Accuracy, running time and memory	size are all optimizing metri	cs because you want to do well on all three.			
\bigcirc	Accuracy, running time and memory	size are all satisficing metric	s because you have to do sufficiently well on			
three for your system to be acceptable.						
`	Correct					
Sti	ructuring your data					
	ore implementing your algorithm, you k is the best choice?	u need to split your data into	train/dev/test sets. Which of these do you			
ının	/ is the nest choice?					
\bigcirc	Train	Dev	Test			
	6,000,000	3,000,000	1,000,000			
_						
\odot	Train	Dev	Test			
	9,500,000	250,000	250,000			
0	Train	Dev	Test			
	6,000,000	1,000,000	3,000,000			
\bigcirc	Train	Dev	Test			
	3,333,334	3,333,333	3,333,333			
			s another 1,000,000 images, called the			
pict diffe	"citizens' data". Apparently the citizens of Peacetopia are so scared of birds that they volunteered to take pictures of the sky and label them, thus contributing these additional 1,000,000 images. These images are different from the distribution of images the City Council had originally given you, but you think it could help your algorithm.					
	ce that adding this additional data to		e distribution of the training set different			
	e following statement true or false?	i. Setai				
	ı should not add the citizens' data to dev and test sets, then this will not al		ne training distribution is different from ell on the test set."			
		•				
0	True					
	False					
	/ Correct					
`	Correct False is correct: Sometimes we'll	need to train the model on t	he data that is available, and its distribution			
			o, adding training data that differs from the c			
	may still help the model improve		t. What matters is that the dev and test set ha			
the same distribution.						
, One	member of the City Council knows	a little about machine learnin	g, and thinks you should add the 1 000 000			
	member of the City Council knows a ens' data images to the test set. You		g, and thinks you should add the 1,000,000			
			g, and thinks you should add the 1,000,000			
citiz	ens' data images to the test set. You	object because:	g, and thinks you should add the 1,000,000 the computational expense of evaluating			
citiz	ens' data images to the test set. You A bigger test set will slow down the s models on the test set.	object because: speed of iterating because of do not have a consistent x>				
citiz	ens' data images to the test set. You A bigger test set will slow down the smodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images of	object because: speed of iterating because of do not have a consistent x> s example from lecture).	the computational expense of evaluating y mapping as the rest of the data (similar to t			
citiz	A bigger test set will slow down the smodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images of New York City/Detroit housing prices	object because: speed of iterating because of do not have a consistent x> s example from lecture).	the computational expense of evaluating y mapping as the rest of the data (similar to t			
citiz	ens' data images to the test set. You A bigger test set will slow down the smodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images on New York City/Detroit housing prices. The test set no longer reflects the di	object because: speed of iterating because of do not have a consistent x> s example from lecture). stribution of data (security ca	the computational expense of evaluating y mapping as the rest of the data (similar to to the data) you most care about.			
citiz	ens' data images to the test set. You A bigger test set will slow down the smodels on the test set. The 1,000,000 citizens' data images on New York City/Detroit housing prices. The test set no longer reflects the di	object because: speed of iterating because of do not have a consistent x> s example from lecture). stribution of data (security ca	the computational expense of evaluating y mapping as the rest of the data (similar to t			

7. You train a system, and its errors are as follows (erro	or = 100%-Accuracy):	1/1 point
Training set error	4.0%	
Dev set error	4.5%	
This suggests that one good avenue for improving pe the 4.0% training error. Do you agree?	erformance is to train a bigger network so as to drive down	
Yes, because having 4.0% training error shows y	ou have high bias.	
Yes, because this shows your bias is higher than	your variance.	
No, because this shows your variance is higher t	than your bias.	
No, because there is insufficient information to 1		
9,		
✓ Correct		
You ask a few people to label the dataset so as to fin following levels of accuracy:	nd out what is human-level performance. You find the	1/1 point
Bird watching expert #1	0.3% error	
Bird watching expert #2	0.5% error	
Normal person #1 (not a bird watching expert)	1.0% error	
Normal person #2 (not a bird watching expert)	1.2% error	
If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be define "human-level performance"?	e a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error, how would you	
	thicl	
0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than	uiisj	
0.3% (accuracy of expert #1)		
0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5)		
0.75% (average of all four numbers above)		
✓ Correct		
Which of the following statements do you agree with	12	1/1 point
-		
Bayes error.	er than human-level performance but it can never be better than	
A learning algorithm's performance can never be Bayes error.	e better than human-level performance but it can be better than	
A learning algorithm's performance can never be error.	e better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes	
	er than human-level performance and better than Bayes error.	
✓ Correct		
	discussing an image gets an even better 0.1% performance, ter working further on your algorithm, you end up with the	1/1 point
Human-level performance	0.1%	
Training set error	2.0%	
Dev set error Based on the evidence you have, which two of the fo	2.1% Ollowing four options seem the most promising to try?	
(Check two options.) Train a bigger model to try to do better on the try	raining set.	
✓ Correct		
Try decreasing regularization.		
✓ Correct		
Try increasing regularization.		
Get a bigger training set to reduce variance.		
11. You also evaluate your model on the test set, and fin	nd the following:	1/1 point
Human-level performance	0.1%	

2.0%

Training set error

	Dev set error	2.1%			
	Test set error	7.0%			
V	What does this mean? (Check the two best options.)				
~	✓ You have overfit to the dev set.				
	✓ Correct				
	You have underfit to the dev set.				
	You should get a bigger test set.				
V	You should try to get a bigger dev set.				
	✓ Correct				
A	ter working on this project for a year, you finally achieve:				
	Human-level performance	0.10%			
	Training set error	0.05%			
	Dev set error	0.05%			
W	hat can you conclude? (Check all that apply.)				
$ ightharpoonup$ If the test set is big enough for the 0.05% error estimate to be accurate, this implies Bayes error is ≤ 0.05					
	✓ Correct				
V	It is now harder to measure avoidable bias, thus progress will be slower going forward.				
	Correct				
	This is a statistical anomaly (or must be the result of statistical noise) since it human-level performance.	should not be possible to surpas			
	. With only 0.09% further progress to make, you should quickly be able to clos	e the remaining gan to 0%			
	That only order at the progress to make, you should quickly see able to do	e the remaining gap to on			
It	turns out Peacetopia has hired one of your competitors to build a system as w	ell. Your system and your			
C	mpetitor both deliver systems with about the same running time and memory is higher accuracy! However, when Peacetopia tries out your and your competi	size. However, your system			
th	ey actually like your competitor's system better, because even though you have ave more false negatives (failing to raise an alarm when a bird is in the air). Wha	higher overall accuracy, you			
) Look at all the models you've developed during the development process an negative error rate.				
	Ask your team to take into account both accuracy and false negative rate du	ring development.			
(Rethink the appropriate metric for this task, and ask your team to tune to the	e new metric.			
) Pick false negative rate as the new metric, and use this new metric to drive a	ll further development.			
	✓ Correct				
	ou've handily beaten your competitor, and your system is now deployed in Peac				
	izens from birds! But over the last few months, a new species of bird has been the performance of your system slowly degrades because your data is being to				
4	DONE	1230			
	CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE	100 mg 100 mg			



You have only 1,000 images of the new species of bird. The city expects a better system from you within the next 3 months. Which of these should you do first?

Use the data you have to define a new evaluation metric (using a new dev/test set) taking into account the new species, and use that to drive further progress for your team.

	O Put the 1,000 images into the training set so as to try to do better on these birds.	
	Try data augmentation/data synthesis to get more images of the new type of bird.	
	Add the 1,000 images into your dataset and reshuffle into a new train/dev/test split.	
	✓ Correct	
15.	. The City Council thinks that having more Cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. (Wow Cat detectors are just incredibly useful aren't they.) Because of years of working on Cat detectors, you have such a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images that training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.)	1/1 point
	✓ If $100,000,000$ examples is enough to build a good enough Cat detector, you might be better of training with just $10,000,000$ examples to gain a $\approx 10x$ improvement in how quickly you can run experiments, even if each model performs a bit worse because it's trained on less data.	
	✓ Correct	
	Needing two weeks to train will limit the speed at which you can iterate.	
	✓ Correct	
	Buying faster computers could speed up your teams' iteration speed and thus your team's productivity.	
	✓ Correct	
	Having built a good Bird detector, you should be able to take the same model and hyperparameters and just apply it to the Cat dataset, so there is no need to iterate.	